

# ADRP Conference Summary 2019/100 Wind Towers exported from the People's Republic of China and the Republic of Korea

| Panel Member | Senior Member, Ms Joan Fitzhenry  |
|--------------|---|
| Review type  | Review of a Minister's decision made under subsection 269ZHG(1) of the Customs Act 1901 |
| Date         | 30 March 2020   |
| Participants | Carl Halpin, Rhys Piper and Paul Sexton (Anti-Dumping Commission)                       |
| Time opened  | 10:00am (AEDT)  |
| Time closed  | 10.19am   |

## Purpose

The purpose of this conference was to obtain further information in relation to the review before the Anti-Dumping Review Panel (ADRP) in relation to Wind Towers exported from the People's Republic of China and the Republic of Korea.

The conference was held pursuant to section 269ZZHA of the *Customs Act 1901* (the Act). In the course of the conference, I may have asked parties to clarify an argument, claim or specific detail contained in the party's application or submission. The conference was not a formal hearing of the review, and was not an opportunity for parties to argue their case before me.

I have only had regard to information provided at this conference as it relates to relevant information (within the meaning of section 269ZZK(6) of the Customs Act 1901). Any conclusions reached at this conference are based on that relevant information. Information that relates to some new argument not previously put in an application or submission is not something that the ADRP has regard to, and is therefore not reflected in this conference summary.

## Discussion

The specific information that the ADRP sought in this conference was in the form of further calculations of the normal value and dumping margin for the wind towers exported by Shanghai Taisheng Wind Power Equipment Co., Ltd (TSP) from China to Australia.

• I requested the information in the form of the recalculations of the normal value and dumping margin for TSP's exports as set out in the request sent to the ADC.



#### Australian Government

#### Anti-Dumping Review Panel

- The ADC confirmed that they had provided the calculations in accordance with the request provided to them, noting that two separate calculations had been provided.
- The ADC also confirmed that they had provided the calculations to TSP together with the request. It was noted that TSP has until 1 April 2020 to return to the ADC with any calculation errors. TSP's agent had confirmed receipt of the calculations.
- I sought confirmation that the other S.269TAC(8) adjustments had been done.
- The ADC confirmed that all other adjustments had been done and only the specification adjustment had changed.
- The ADC also confirmed that the main reason for the difference in the result was that there was no longer the cost uplift in the market price calculation. The amount of the profit changed as a result of the OCOT profit changes.